2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Board of Scientific Counselors, National Institute on Drug Abuse

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 09:03:25 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2018

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Board of Scientific Counselors, National Institute on Drug

Abuse

6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

810

Current EV

Novt EV

Year? Charter Date Date

No 10/31/2005

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Commitee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16) 08/27/1980 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

Reports FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 1 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1 Meetings and Dates

PurposeStartEndReview of Intramural Laboratory11/07/2017- 11/07/2017

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current Fi	Nextri
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$1,400.00	\$5,000.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$60,524.00	\$61,674.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$1,000.00	\$2,000.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$4,740.00	\$10,194.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$2,437.00	\$3,519.00

 18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)
 \$75.00
 \$77.00

 18d. Total
 \$70,176.00 \$82,464.00

 19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)
 0.30
 0.30

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide evaluation and peer review of Institute laboratories and staff scientists in the areas of sociology, psychology, psychiatry, biochemistry, physiology, pharmacology, endocrinology, neurosciences, and related biological and behavioral sciences. During this reporting period the Board evaluated the productivity and performance of senior staff scientists of the Neural Engineering Unit, Behavioral Neuroscience Research Branch; Electrophysiology Section, Cellular Neurobiology Research Branch; and Computational Chemistry Unit, Molecular Targets and Medications Discovery Branch.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Board consists of 12 members who are outstanding authorities knowledgeable in the specialized fields of sociology, psychology, psychiatry, biochemistry, physiology, pharmacology, endocrinology, neuroscience, and related biological and behavior sciences related to the intramural research activities of the Institute. The advisory role of the Board is scientific and substantive. The Board, through periodic visits to the laboratories, assesses the research in progress and evaluates productivity and performance of staff scientists.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Board met once during this reporting period. The Board holds approximately two meetings each year for the peer review of intramural laboratories on a rotating basis as required by the PHS Act. Board evaluated the productivity and performance of senior staff scientists of the Neural Engineering Unit, Behavioral Neuroscience Research Branch; Electrophysiology Section, Cellular Neurobiology Research Branch; and Computational Chemistry Unit, Molecular Targets and Medications Discovery Branch.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities from outside the NIH in order to secure unbiased and objective evaluation of intramural research programs. Their recommendations are invaluable because the complex nature of research in each laboratory requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established resources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meeting of the Board of Scientific Counselors, NIDA, was closed for the review, discussion, and evaluation of individual programs and projects conducted by the Institute, including consideration of personnel qualifications and performance, the competence of individual investigators, and similar items, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Section 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permits the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Committee Function: Other - Scientific and Technical Peer Review. This committee did not produce any reports during this reporting period. The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions are held by the same individual based on assigned duties within the IC. This committee does not have a dedicated website. Dr. Patricia Janak resigned from this board during FY18.

Designated Federal Officer

JOSHUA KYSIAK PROGRAM SPECIALIST, IRP, NIDA

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
BENVENISTE, HELENE	01/19/2016	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
BOOTH, RAYMOND	09/30/2016	06/30/2018	3 PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
CHAVKIN, CHARLES	01/19/2016	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
DE BIASI, MARIELLA	11/29/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
JANAK, PATRICIA	03/30/2016	06/30/2018	BLOOMBERG DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
MARINELLI, MICHELA	02/02/2016	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
MARTINEZ, DIANA	09/30/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF CLINICAL PSYCHIATRY	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
MOORE, CHRISTOPHER	09/16/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
PIERCE, ROBERT	02/02/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR OF NEUROSCIENCE	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
ZUBIETA, JON-KAR	09/30/2016	06/30/2018	PHIL F. JENKINS ENDOWED PROFESSOR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 10

Narrative Description

The mission of the Board is to assess the quality of the Institute intramural research

programs and evaluate the productivity and performance of staff scientists. Following each review, a written report from the Board is forwarded, with a response from the Scientific Director, NIDA, Intramural Research Program to the Deputy Director, Intramural Research, NIH. The Board's recommendations are communicated to the National Advisory Council on Drug Abuse. The Board continues to provide indispensable evaluation and advisory functions in the management of science in the intramural research programs. During this reporting period the Board evaluated the productivity and performance of senior staff scientists of the Neural Engineering Unit, Behavioral Neuroscience Research Branch; Electrophysiology Section, Cellular Neurobiology Research Branch; and Computational Chemistry Unit, Molecular Targets and Medications Discovery Branch.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee? Checked if Applies Improvements to health or safety Trust in government Major policy changes Advance in scientific research Effective grant making Improved service delivery Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other **Outcome Comments** NA What are the cost savings associated with this committee? Checked if Applies None Unable to Determine Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000 Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

86

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Committee makes recommendations based on the strengths and weaknesses of each scientist's research program, including space and resource allocations, and reviews the scientist's mentoring record.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at http://report.nih.gov.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at http://report.nih.gov.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to

implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes ✓ No ─ Not Applicable ─				
Agency Feedback Comments The NIDA Scientific Director provides written feedback taken based on the committee's recommendations.	ck to the committee regarding actions			
What other actions has the agency taken as a res recommendation?	ult of the committee's advice or			
	Checked if Applies			
Reorganized Priorities				
Reallocated resources				
Issued new regulation				
Proposed legislation				
Approved grants or other payments				
Other				
Action Comments The Scientific Director and other NIH officials use the in evaluating the quality of the intramural research properties and resources available. No changes were made to earlier or resources.	ograms including scientific priorities			
Is the Committee engaged in the review of application	ations for grants?			
Grant Review Comments NA				
How is access provided to the information for the	Committee's documentation?			
	Checked if Applies			
Contact DFO	✓			
Online Agency Web Site	✓			
Online Committee Web Site				
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓			
Publications				
Other	✓			

Access Comments

Contact the National Institute on Drug Abuse Committee Management Office.